REPORT FROM N.Z. HOMEBIRTH ASSOCIATION

AUSTRALIAN 8th NATIONAL HOMEBIRTH CONFERENCE, BUNBURY, 1987.

We are disappointed not to have an official representative at your 1987 Conference - for the second year in a row, but we will bring you up-to-date with a report.

1986 has been a hectic year! Our health services are in disarray, in fact the whole country is in a state of chaos while we open this so-called 'welfare state' up to open slather 'market forces' referred to as "Rogernomics".

At our 1986 N.Z.HBA Conference at Palmerston North, the membership agreed to subsidise the cost of hiring an industrial lawyer to negotiate a contract for the domiciliary midwives with the Health Department. (Our present contract is signed by Peter Fraser, Prime Minister of N.Z. in 1939). Negotiations were stalled awaiting a Health Benefits Review which was looking at cost-effective health care based on the concept of primary health care, eg Health for all by the Year 2000. We sent a lengthy submission to this Review calling for a complete restructuring of N.Z.'s maternity services to be founded on a realistic philosophical base. Report Choices for Health Care was released in December. It is a surprisingly honest evaluation of the present health care system. It actually recognises the 'growing influence' of the 'vocal home birth movement' which, associated with patients' rights and women's health issues has been able 'to make some headway against the medical establishment. It notes that there are less than 40 domiciliary midwives registered and that their 'low rates of pay may not be entirely unrelated to (the attitudes of)...a large section of the medical community who consider home birth as an unsafe, second-best option which is best discouraged'. Negotiations have now proceeded to a Draft Contract with attached fee-for-service schedule. Meanwhile a Senior Advisory Officer from the Health Department's new Division of Women. Children and Family Health is carrying out a research survey on home births. Such a 'Study Proposal' was put forward in Feb 1986 when the Auckland domiciliary midwives opposed 'Draft Guidelines' which were seen as 'archaic', 'contradictory' and completely out of line with the primary health care concept endorsed by the Government.

At present, mainly due to the cost of high-tech health care, there is strong pressure from influential groups and individuals

Report/2

to institute preventive/primary health services, which, of course; includes home births. Our Ministry of Women's Affairs openly supports the home birth option.

These developments in N.Z. could be of relevance to the Australian home birth movement in view of the Second Report from your Medical Benefits Review which recommends a \$2m pilot programme on domiciliary midwifery service along the lines of the proposal developed by the Queen Victoria Medical Centre. Even before starting it gives only 'qualified acceptance of the effectiveness of domiciliary midwives'. Maybe you are already aware that Margaret Peters was a keynote speaker at the N.Z. Midwives Conference, Christchurch, in August 1986 and went home with much information about the state of midwifery in N.Z. including a copy of my paper on the Status and Role of the Domiciliary MIdwife.

As a direct result of consumer pressure, i.e. the home birth movement, maternity care, especially in the delivery units has improved greatly, at least for assertive women. These changes are also due to changes in the attitudes of midwives who are fighting to reclaim their professional status and are seeing themselves as the consumer's advocate rather than the doctor's handmaiden - but again, it is the domiciliary midwives who have been responsible for this consciousness raising.

In Auckland, which has the highest incidence of home birth (> 250 p.a.) and eight domiciliary midwives, we continue to have quarterly meetings with our home birth doctors in order to maintain good lines of communication and to work collectively against threats to this option. As there is a chronic shortage of 'clinical material' the Diploma of Obstetrics has been extended from six to nine months. The Obstetrics Standards Review Committee (OSRC) looked at home birth transfers as a source and arbitrarily decided that these would, in future become clinic patients. All our women are booked into hospital in the matenatal period under their own doctor. And since by law every woman is guaranteed the doctor of her choice regardless of any hospital board ruling we forced the OSRC to back down. They are finding it hard to accept that those bodies belong to the women who live in them, not to the obstetricians!

At Thames, an area under tight O&G control; we unfortunately had a maternal death in December - septicaemia (lesion on ovary) at seven days post partum. There has been an occasional home birth in this area but women often come to Auckland because of the local opposition. Local doctors have been warned by the reigning autocrat not to cover for home birth on threat of loss of their hospital privileges. However, as a result of consumer pressure, a midwife got established and this was the first home birth to which this obstetrician had given official approval. Following this tragedy, the Thames OSRC, feeling themselves to be in a strong political position, issued 'Minimal Standards of Patient Care' for Domiciliary Confinements. Using the generally accepted 'high risk' categories plus a few extra, their Patient Selection would exclude practically every woman from home birth. However, just in case a few might slip through the net they included 'Social Risk Factors'. such as financial lack/mismanagement, low self-esteem and history of failure at school or at work, social isolation, evidence of poor impulse control and/or personality problems. There are a number of others, just as bizarre. This document was passed on to the Midwives Section, N.Z.N.A. which wrote to Thames OSRC saying their document is an insult to women and to midwives. It's really encouraging to see this liaison and support for domiciliary midwives from the hospital-based midwives on the official level. We are now all 'midwives' working in different areas and fighting for survival. (See enclosed pamphlet). From 1 January 1987 we commenced use of the enclosed stat form. This evolved from the work done by Maggie and myself. Our stats are collected from Jan to Dec. The 1986 stats will be ready for our Annual Conference which is May 8,9,10 at Whangamata if any of you are in N.Z. at that time we would love to see you there. Enclosed are our stats to 1985 - hot complete as we still have problems to get midwives to fill in the forms and send them to Stan Gillanders our collator.

Jaan Donley.

Joan Donley 29 March 1987.

			5000
MOTHER	HOMEBIRTH AUSTRAL	LASIA STATISTICS FORM	Midwife/Doctor code office use only
This section should be completed	l= encouraged a lo:	(continued from previous column)	
by the mother, (circle relevant	Z= encouraged	5 hands and knees	BABY
numbers)	3= little influence	6 dorsal	Complete a separate form for
·	4= discouraged 5= not applicable	7 bath	each baby of a multiple birth
How much did the following	9= unknown	8 other	Plurality
encourage you to home birth?		9 unknown	1 single birth
Desire for natural birth		Presentation	or.
	1 2 3 4 5 9	1 vertex	this record refers to bor
The effect on your baby	1 2 3 4 5 9	2 pop	of children.
No separation from children	1 0 0	3 breech	December
Absence of drugs		8 other	Date of birth
Presence of friends/family		9 unknown	
Religious beliefs	1 2 3 4 5 9	T	
	1 2 3 4 5 9	Type of delivery	Sex
Your opinion of hospitals	1 2 3 4 5 9	1 spontaneous cephalic 2 spontaneous breech	1 male
Ass. CD 44		2 spontaneous breech 3 forceps	2 female
Area of Residence	Month and Year of completing	4 ventouse	3 indeterminate
Posicode	last pregnancy	5 elective caesarian	9 unknown
	77777	6 emergency caesarian	Condition
If unless we have		8 other	l live born
If unknown, suburb & town:	Outcome of last	9 unknown	2 still born
	Outcome of last pregnancy		
	1 all babies live born 2 still birth	Length of Labour	Birthweight (gms)
Marital Status	3 miscarriage	Dava Hours Mine	
1 married/defacto	4 termination of pregnancy	First stage	7
2 single/unmarried	9 unknown	2nd stage	Apgar
separated		Zilu stage	at one minute
divorced	THIS PREGNANCY	3rd stage	
widowed	Date of last period		at 5 minutes
unknown		Membrane rupture to	Resuscitation
8	Charles in the contract of the	delivery	1 none/routine
Ethnic Group	(best estimate if unknown)	(At birth = 1 minute)	2 oxygen
Caucasiar.	Smoking	(At buth = 1 minute)	3 intubation
Maori	1 never in pregnancy	Complications of labour	4 injection
Pacific Islander	2 0-5 cigarettes/day	1 none	8 other
Australian Aboriginal	3 6-20 (1 pack)/day	2 foetal distress	9 unknown
Asian	4 over 1 pack/day	3 prolonged labour	Postnatal intervention for baby
Other	9 unknown	4 retained placenta	If born at home:
Unignous	Procedures in pregnancy	5 antepartum haemorrhage	1 - remained at home
000	1 none	6 postparnum haemorrhage	Transferred to hospital:
ountry of Mother's birth Australia	2 ultrasonic scan	7 cord prolapse	2 - Ior treatment
New Zuland	Amniocentesis:	8 other	3 - to accompany mother
ther (specify)	3 - before 20 weeks	9 unknown	If born in hospital:
oner (specify)	4 - 20 or more weeks	Complications of puerperium	4 - normal discharge
7 N	5 cervical suture	1 none	5 - Prolonged treatment:
*	8 other	2 urinary tract infection	
other's Date of Birth	9 unknown	3 genital tract infection	If baby died:
- DEED OF BIRM	LABOUR AND DELIVERY	4 breast infection	6 - with autopsy
	Place of birth	5 venous thrombosis	7 - without autopsy
	1 home	6 secondary pph	9 Intervention unknown
day month year	2 hospital	7 post natal depression	
1	3 other	8 other	Congenital anomalies
ghest Education Completed	Was skin -1	9 unknown	
primary	Was this place	Postpotal in a	2 yes (specify)
secondary 1-2 years	1 planned/intended 2 emergency	Postnatal intervention for mother	
secondary 3 years	50110)	If birth at home:	
secondary 4+ years	Labour onset	1 - remained at home	9 unknown
tertiary - undergraduate	1 spontaneous	Transfer to hospital:	Neonatal morbidity
or equivalent	2 medically induced	2 - for treatment	1 none
	3 surgically induced (ARM)	3 - to accompany baby	2 extreme prematurity
unknown	Procedures in Labour		3 jaundice with phototherapy
	1 none	If birth in hospital:	4 infection
rious Pregnancies	2 pain relieving drugs	4 - normal discharge	5 birth injuries
excluding this pregnancy)	3 ARM	5 - prolonged treatment	8 other
No previous pregnancies	-	i	9 unknown
Or:			
ber of Pregnancies resulting	episiotomy	7 - without autopsy	Feeding at two weeks
			1 breast milk only
pables live born	unknown	9 Intervention unknown	2 breast plus supplement
orth n		1,	3 bottle feeding 9 unknown
	Positions used in 2nd stage		- IIIWIIOWII
arriage 1 2	squatting or sitting		
	1		
ination of pregnancy 3	standing or walking		
nwon	lateral		
	(continued next to-lumn)	Signature:	
	30.		